## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
v. Dante Andre Howard	Case No. 1:13-cr-00179-JTN		
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Rethat the defendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
Part I – Fi	indings of Fact		
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had		
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	8156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.		
an offense for which a maximum prison term o	f ten years or more is prescribed in: .*		
a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.		
any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim			
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250		
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed v or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presult person or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.		
Alternativ	ve Findings (A)		
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	it has committed an offense		
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s			
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
<ul> <li>(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a</li> </ul>	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.		
	ve Findings (B)		
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app			
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang			
	the Reasons for Detention		
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:  1. Defendant is currently on federal supervised release.	ne detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing		
2. Defendant has committed various violations of his condition	ns of supervised release.		

- 3. There is convincing circumstantial evidence that defendant was involved in the drug conspiracy alleged while on supervised release.
- 4. Defendant has a history of substance abuse.
- 5. Defendant has a lengthy criminal history demonstrating general disregard for the law.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 25, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge